Commissioner for Patents United States Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

**KNOBBE MARTENS OLSON & BEAR LLP 2040 MAIN STREET** FOURTEENTH FLOOR **IRVINE CA 92614** 

COPY MAILED

JUN 2 9 2004

In re Application of

Kenneth W. Rake et al.

Application No. 09/892,900 Filed: June 26, 2001

Attorney Docket No. IFLOW.2CP2F3C1

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

: DECISION ON PETITION : UNDER 37 CFR 1.78(a)(3)

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(3), filed April 23, 2004, to accept an unintentionally delayed claim under 35 U.S.C. § 120 for the benefit of priority to the prior-filed nonprovisional applications set forth in the amendment filed August 1. 2003.

## The petition is **GRANTED**.

A petition for acceptance of a claim for late priority under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(3) is only applicable to those applications filed on or after November 29, 2000. Further, the petition is appropriate only after the expiration of the period specified in 37 CFR 1.78(a)(2)(ii). In addition, the petition under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(3) must be accompanied by:

> (1) the reference required by 35 U.S.C. § 120 and 37 CFR 78(a)(2)(i) of the prior-filed application, unless previously submitted;

(2) the surcharge set forth in § 1.17(t); and(3) a statement that the entire delay between the date the cláim was due under 37 CFR 1.78(á)(2)(ii) and the date the claim was filed was unintentional. The Commissioner may require additional information where there is a question whether the delay was unintentional.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Any nonprovisional application or international application designating the United States of America claiming the benefit of one or more prior-filed copending applications or international applications designating the United States of America must contain or be amended to contain a reference (amendment to the first line of the specification following the title or in an application data sheet (ADS) to each such priorfiled application, identifying it by application number (consisting of the series code and serial number) or international application number and international filing date filing date and indicating the relationship of the applications. Cross references to other related applications may be made when appropriate (see § 1.14).

The instant pending application was filed on June 26, 2001, and was pending at the time of filing of the instant petition. A reference to the prior-filed nonprovisional applications has been included in an amendment to the first sentence of the specification following the title, as required by 37 CFR 1.78(a)(2)(iii).

The instant nonprovisional application was filed after November 29, 2000, and the claim herein for the benefit of priority to the prior-filed nonprovisional applications is submitted after expiration of the period specified in 37 CFR 1.78(a)(2)(ii). Also, the reference to the prior-filed nonprovisional applications was submitted during the pendency of the instant nonprovisional application, for which the claim for benefit of priority is sought. See 35 U.S.C. § 120. Accordingly, having found that the instant petition for acceptance of an unintentionally delayed claim for the benefit of priority under 35 U.S.C. § 120 to the prior-filed nonprovisional applications satisfies the conditions of 37 CFR 1.78(a)(3), the petition is granted.

The granting of the petition to accept the delayed benefit claim to the prior-filed applications under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(3) should not be construed as meaning that the instant application is entitled to the benefit of the prior-filed applications. In order for the instant application to be entitled to the benefit of the prior-filed applications, all other requirements under 35 U.S.C. § 120 and 37 CFR 1.78(a)(1) and (a)(2) must be met. Similarly, the fact that the corrected Filing Receipt accompanying this decision on petition includes the prior-filed applications should not be construed as meaning that applicant is entitled to the claim for benefit of priority to the prior-filed applications noted thereon. Accordingly, the examiner will, in due course, consider this benefit claim and determine whether the instant application is entitled to the benefit of the earlier filing date.

A corrected Filing Receipt, which includes the priority claim to the prior-filed nonprovisional applications, accompanies this decision on petition.

Petitioner is reminded that the reference to some of the prior-filed applications noted in the amendment submitted on August 1, 2003, was not present in the oath/declaration or transmittal letter upon the filing of the above-identified application. Therefore, the petition fee of \$1,330 will be charged to deposit account no. 11-1410 as authorized.

Any inquiries concerning this decision may be directed to Karen Creasy at (703) 305-8859.

The examiner of Technology Center Art Unit 3761 will consider applicant's entitlement to claim benefit of priority under 35 U.S.C. § 120 to the prior-filed nonprovisional applications.

Karen Creasy

Petitions Examiner Office of Petitions

Office of the Deputy Commissioner for Patent Examination Policy

**ATTACHMENT**: Corrected Filing Receipt